STEP 6: Foreign Commerce...again

(some income is still exempt)

Larken Rose

This is the sixth in a series of messages written especially for people new to the "861 evidence." This follows <u>Step 5:</u> Foreign commerce (some income is still exempt). The various documents referred to in the discussions are hyperlinked (blue letters) to the Internet so that you can see the words for yourself. Where the law is quoted, all emphasis has been added.

- The **BLUE** links take you to the documents on the **Internet**; when finished reading, hit the "**back**" button to return to the discussion.
- ALL the messages in this series can be found linked through the following website: <u>http://www.861.info/pgs/861course.php</u>.

Regarding the issue we've been looking at, when some people start to see where this reasoning leads (hint: **THE LARGEST FINANCIAL FRAUD IN HISTORY**), they start having irrational emotional reactions, because the conclusion this is leading to is more than a little disturbing. But as Patrick Henry said (paraphrased), *however disturbing the truth*, I want to know it so I can deal with it:

"For my part, whatever anguish of spirit it may cost, I am willing to know the whole truth; to know the worst and provide for it." [Patrick Henry]

So I plead with you again, please **remain objective** and see where the **EVIDENCE** leads (and then decide whether to get emotional about it). As we saw before, the **CURRENT** income tax regulations say that the common "items" of gross income (like compensation for services, interest, rents, etc.) sometimes include **EXEMPT** income. But **WHEN** is it exempt? Well, the regulations direct us to **26 CFR 1.861-8T(d)(2)** to find out more about that issue. In case you weren't sure what "exempt income" meant, the section tells you:

"(*ii*)Exempt income and exempt asset defined--(A) In general. For purposes of this section, <u>the term exempt income</u> means any income that is, in whole or in part, <u>exempt, excluded, or eliminated for</u> <u>federal income tax purposes</u>." [26 CFR § 1.861-8T(d)(2)(ii)]

Clear enough? And then the section goes on to tell you this:

"(iii) Income that is **NOT** considered tax **EXEMPT**. The following <u>items</u> are **NOT** considered to be **EXEMPT**, eliminated, or excluded income and, thus, may have expenses, losses, or other deductions allocated and apportioned to them:

(A) In the case of a **FOREIGN** taxpayer (including a foreign sales corporation (FSC)) computing its effectively connected income...;

(B) In computing the combined taxable income of a DISC or FSC [domestic international sales corporation and foreign sales corporation] and its related supplier, the gross income of a DISC or a FSC;
(C) For all purposes under subchapter N of the Code... the gross income of a **POSSESSIONS** corporation for which a credit is allowed under section 936(a); and
(D) FOREIGN earned income as defined in section 911 and the

(D) **FOREIGN** earned income as defined in section 911 and the regulations thereunder..." [26 CFR § 1.861-8T(d)(2)(iii)]

[Here is the regulation to the examples **[26 CFR § 1.861-8T(d)(2)(ii),(iii)]** and here is the entire regulation, examples included **[26 CFR § 1.861-8T]**. Note that the examples **ALL** have to do with international commerce in some way.]

So, is **YOUR** income on that list? The list <u>specifically mentions</u>:

- **1.** Americans with **foreign** income.
- 2. Foreigners with income from the U.S. (domestic income).
- **3.** Rules about federal **possessions** (and international and foreign sales corporations).

Congratulations to anyone who noticed that these are the **SAME** types of commerce mentioned in the older regulations (shown in the last message).

- <u>39.22(a)-1</u>
- <u>39.22(b)-1</u>

Again we're told:

- **1.** SOME INCOME IS **EXEMPT**.
- **2.** INCOME FROM CERTAIN INTERNATIONAL TRADE IS **<u>NOT</u> EXEMPT**.

Remember, the Supreme Court says we should **NOT ASSUME** that tax laws apply to matters "*not specifically pointed out*." Not surprisingly, this is consistent with a legal principle called "inclusio unius est exclusio alterius," which dictates that "*where law <u>expressly describes a particular situation to which it shall apply</u>, an <i>irrefutable inference must be drawn that <u>what is omitted or excluded</u> was <u>intended to be omitted or excluded</u>" (Black's Law Dictionary, 6th edition).*

Remember that there *must be* specific words of the law that **CREATE** obligations and if those words **ARE NOT THERE** then the obligation does **NOT** exist. If the law does not <u>say</u> it, the law does not <u>mean</u> it. Past and present, the regulations "expressly describe" certain **international income** as being subject to the tax (not exempt), but the **domestic income** of the average American (most incomes) is "<u>omitted or excluded</u>" from the list.

Why is that? Did they just "forget" to tell millions of us that we owe it too? Remember, the regulations **SENT** us to the section quoted above <u>after</u> telling us that some income is **EXEMPT**. So if this isn't the answer to the question of what is exempt from tax, **WHERE IS** the answer??

Why send us here, only to give us a list of **INTERNATIONAL** and **FOREIGN** stuff that is taxable? What about **US**, residents of the United States engaged **ONLY** in domestic commerce? What should we take this to mean?

3

I believe the meaning is clear, albeit difficult to swallow: According to the decades of the written law, only income from certain types of **INTERNATIONAL** trade is subject to the U.S. federal income tax. The domestic income of the "average" American (most incomes) is **NOT**. (Stay tuned for the next message if you're thinking, "**why on earth would they only tax international trade**?")

My fondest wish at this point is **NOT** even that you agree with me yet, but that you're to the point where you dare to say (or think): "He can't be right... but I admit that's a little weird." If you're that far, I'm happy.

Because, as you'll see, it gets even more curious.

Sincerely,

Larken Rose <u>larken@taxableincome.net</u> <u>http://www.861.info</u> <u>http://www.theft-by-deception.com</u>

NEXT: <u>STEP 7:</u> Foreign commerce.....again